

2025 Collaborative Research Project

Team Name _____

Judge Name _____

Competition Judging Rubric

<i>Page 1 of 2</i>	Criteria	On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being "Unsatisfactory" to 5 being "Exemplary," please evaluate each criterion by circling your score.	Explain significant deductions for each criterion in this space.
Written Research Report	Report is clear, focused, and coherent.	1 2 3 4 5	
	Critical thinking skills are evident: i.e. summary, synthesis, analysis, interpretation, logical reasoning, transformation of knowledge.	1 2 3 4 5	
	Written report is 12-20 double-spaced pages (title page, citations, & appendices included in pg. count).	1 2 3 4 5	
	Report is cohesive and well-written, with few errors in mechanics and grammar, and with consistently formatted citations.	1 2 3 4 5	
	Report provides a strong theoretical support and literature review to support the research.	1 2 3 4 5	
	Report describes a rigorous and well-documented research method; work effort is balanced among team members.	1 2 3 4 5	
Presentation Slides (formerly Poster)	Slides clearly display the title, identify the authors and their fields, and explain the research problem and its significance.	1 2 3 4 5	
	Slide content clearly outlines the objectives of the research, the methods, results, and conclusions.	1 2 3 4 5	
	Digital content is engaging, creative, and aesthetically appealing; layout and design create a logical flow with a good balance of textual and graphic elements.	1 2 3 4 5	
	Digital presentation is highly legible (adequate resolution) with accurate and clear labeling and accurate grammar.	1 2 3 4 5	
			Please continue on reverse...
<i>Page 2 of 2</i>	Criteria	On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being "Needs Improvement" to 5 being "Exemplary," please evaluate each criterion by circling your score.	Explain significant deductions for each criterion in this space.

2025 Collaborative Research Project

Team Name _____

Judge Name _____

Competition Judging Rubric

Oral Presentation	Presenter/s are audible, clear, and focused, with a good use of non-verbal communication, and exhibit a clear plan for a fluid presentation.	1 2 3 4 5	
	Presenter/s refer to their slides but expand on the project beyond what's represented on the slides.	1 2 3 4 5	
	Presenter/s are eager to engage, speak extemporaneously, and answer questions thoroughly but concisely.	1 2 3 4 5	
	Presenter/s explain field-specific terminology, clearly communicate "big picture" concepts, and explain broader implications of research and/or calls for action.	1 2 3 4 5	
Overall Collaboration	The project shows a unique and skillful approach to collaborative research. This was clearly expressed in both the written and oral elements.	1 2 3 4 5	
Research Topic & Team Composition	This project clearly demonstrates the CRP theme, is highly innovative, and required rigorous research and/or creativity for which at least three different disciplines had to collaborate.	1 2 3 4 5	

Tallying Scores	Written Report / 30 pt max		0
	Slides / 20 pt max		0
	Presentation / 20 pt max		0
(weighted double)	Overall Collab / 5 pt max		0
(weighted double)	Topic & Team Comp / 5 pt max		0
	Grand Total out of 100 pts:		0

Note: There are hidden formulas in Column 4 to account for the doubling of the value in "Overall Collab" and "Topic & Team" to achieve a total score out of 100 points.

If using a paper form, please double those two scores only before adding down the column to get your final total.